

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wpio.gov

10/06/2011

ELECTRONIC

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/593,216 | 04/10/2007 | Yasuhiko Takahashi | 600630-58US | 5928 |
| 7590 100669911 PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP ONE COMMERCE SQUARE | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | VOGEL, NANCY TREPTOW | |
| | 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1636 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

usptomail@panitchlaw.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/593,216 | TAKAHASHI ET AL. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | NANCY VOGEL | 1636 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which places the | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See of the constitute of the co | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory policy allowance (PTOL-85). | 5). received on (with a Certification | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 7 (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | |
| ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | |
| . The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | | | | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| In a phone conversation on 9/29/11 with Atty. Weihong Hsing, it was confirmed that no reply had been filed. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | /NANCY VOGEL/ | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art Unit | 1 1636 | | | |
| | | | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademic Cflice

Part of Paper No. 20110925